Environmental Science & Technology

Organophosphate Triesters and Diester Degradation Products in Municipal Sludge from Wastewater Treatment Plants in China: Spatial Patterns and Ecological Implications

Lingfang Fu,[†] Bibai Du,[†] Fei Wang,[†][®] James C. W. Lam,[‡][®] Lixi Zeng,^{*,†}[®] and Eddy Y. Zeng[†]

[†]School of Environment, Guangzhou Key Laboratory of Environmental Exposure and Health, and Guangdong Key Laboratory of Environmental Pollution and Health, Jinan University, Guangzhou 510632, China

[‡]Department of Science and Environmental Studies, The Education University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Little is known about the occurrences, distributions, sources, and potential risks of organophosphate (OP) triesters and diester degradation products in municipal sludge from wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs). In this study, we conducted the first nationwide survey to simultaneously determine a suite of 11 OP triesters and six diester degradation products in sludge from WWTPs across China. All OP triesters were detected and three diesters were identified for the first time in sludge samples. Total concentrations of OP triesters and diester degradation products were in the ranges of 43.9-2160 and 17.0-1300 ng (g of dry weight)⁻¹, respectively, indicating relatively low pollution levels in China compared with those of several developed countries. A distinct geographical variation of higher concentrations of OP triesters and diesters in East China than in Central and West China was observed, suggesting that regional levels



of organophosphate esters are associated with the magnitudes of regional economic development. Source analysis revealed nonchlorinated OP diesters are mainly derived from degradation in WWTPs, while chlorinated OP diesters were largely sourced from outside WWTPs. The estimated total emission fluxes of OP triesters and diesters via land-application sludge in China were approximately 330 and 134 kg/year, respectively. Further risk assessment based on risk quotient values in sludge-applied soils indicated low to medium risks for most OP triesters and diesters except tris(methylphenyl) phosphate. The significant accumulation of OP triesters and widespread occurrence of diester degradation products in sludge raise environmental concerns about these contaminants.

INTRODUCTION

Organophosphate esters (OPEs) have been extensively used as flame retarding chemicals and as plasticizers and additives in plastics, lubricants, rubber products, electronic devices, textiles, furniture, food packaging, and hydraulic fluids.^{1,2} Because polybrominated diphenyl ethers have been phased out, OPEs have become one of the most frequently used alternative flame retardants.³ The annual global production of OPEs currently reaches approximately 200 kt,⁴ with more than 70 kt being produced in China.⁵ Western Europe, North America, and China are three major consumers of flame retardants, accounting for approximately 60% of global consumption.⁶ The large amounts of OPEs produced and used worldwide have resulted in their widespread occurrence in various environ-mental matrices, $^{1-3,5,7-10}$ as well as in remote regions.¹¹ Depending on the ester linkage, OPEs can be categorized as aryl, nonchlorinated alkyl, chlorinated alkyl, and others.¹² Aryland nonchlorinated alkyl-OPEs with higher molecular weights are more hydrophobic, while chlorinated alkyl-OPEs are generally more water-soluble and resistant to degradation.³ Chlorinated alkyl-OPEs, including tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate (TCEP), tris(2-chloroisopropyl) phosphate (TCIPP), and tris(1,3-dichloroisopropyl) phosphate (TDCIPP), have attracted an increasing amount of attention because of their carcinogenicity and neurotoxicity. $^{13-15}$

Organophosphate triesters can be rapidly enzyme-catalyzed and metabolized to diester metabolites in humans and animals.^{3,10,16–26} These diester metabolites are more hydrophilic than triesters and are readily excreted in urine, which often function as biomarkers of exposure to OPEs.¹⁷ OP diesters can also be generated via other degradation pathways such as microbial metabolism/biotransformation, base-catalyzed hydrolysis,²⁷ and photodegradation.²⁸ It should be emphasized that some OP diesters, such as diphenyl phosphate (DPHP), are more toxic than their parent compounds.²⁰ In cities, most released OP triesters and diester degradation products are commonly collected in sewer systems and

ACS Publications © 2017 American Chemical Society

Received:August 10, 2017Revised:October 5, 2017Accepted:October 30, 2017Published:October 30, 2017

accumulate in municipal was tewater treatment plants (WWTPs). $^{29-33}$ It has been reported that OP triesters were detected in influents of WWTPs at microgram per liter levels.^{29-32,34,35} WWTPs are therefore considered as major sinks and perhaps degradation sites. Studies of the behavior of OPEs in WWTPs indicate that nonchlorinated alkyl- and aryl-OPEs can be partly degraded by activated sludge treat-ment,^{12,29,31,32,34,36} while chlorinated alkyl-OPEs are poorly removed even during the advanced oxidation processes.³² Most OPEs, particularly chlorinated alkyl-OPEs, ultimately end up in sludge.^{31,32,36} Therefore, sludge is an excellent medium for tracing wastewater-derived OPEs and their degradation products locally.³⁷ However, until now, only limited studies have reported one or several selected OPEs in sludge at a regional level.^{12,30,33,37-39} Among these studies, only two demonstrated the presence of both OP triesters and diesters in sludge.^{12,33} Although OP triesters and diesters as emerging global contaminants in humans have been widely exam-ined,^{3,10,17-19,21-25} their co-occurrence, distributions, sources, and potential risks in abiotic matrices such as sludge on a large geographical scale remain unknown.

To fill the knowledge gap mentioned above, we conducted the first nationwide survey to simultaneously determine a suite of OP triesters and diester degradation products in sludge from WWTPs in 36 cities across China. The aims were (1) to examine the geographical distribution patterns and potential sources of currently used OP triesters and their diester degradation products, (2) to improve our understanding of the behavior and fate of the target compounds in WWTPs and the ambient environment, and (3) to evaluate the environmental emissions and potential ecological risks via land application of sludge in China.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and Materials. Eleven target OP triesters,⁴⁰ i.e., tris(propyl) phosphate (TPP), tris(butyl) phosphate (TNBP), tris(isobutyl) phosphate (TIBP), tris(2-butoxyethyl) phosphate (TBOEP), tris(2-ethylhexyl) phosphate (TEHP), TCEP, TCIPP, TDCIPP, tris(phenyl) phosphate (TPHP), tris-(methylphenyl) phosphate (TMPP), and 2-ethylhexyl diphenyl phosphate (EHDPP), were purchased from Dr. Ehrenstorfer (Augsburg, Germany). Six OP diesters, including di-n-butyl phosphate (DNBP) for parent TNBP, bis(2-butoxyethyl) phosphate (BBOEP) for parent TBOEP, bis(2-chloroethyl) phosphate (BCEP) for parent TCEP, bis(2-chloroisopropyl) phosphate (BCIPP) for parent TCIPP, bis(1,3-dichloroisopropyl) phosphate (BDCIPP) for parent TDCIPP, and diphenyl phosphate (DPHP) for parent TPHP, were studied. DNBP, BBOEP, BCEP, BCIPP, and BDCIPP were purchased from Toronto Research Chemicals (North York, ON), and DPHP was purchased from Tokyo Chemical Industry (Tokyo, Japan). Detailed information about their molecular formula/ weight and physicochemical parameters is listed in Table S1. Internal standards TNBP- d_{27} , TDCIPP- d_{15} , TPHP- d_{15} , and DPHP- d_{10} were obtained from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories (Andover, MA). Stock solutions of the target analytes were prepared in acetonitrile and stored at -20 °C. Methanol, acetonitrile, ethyl acetate, and cyclohexane of high-performance liquid chromatography grade were acquired from Oceanpak (Gothenburg, Sweden).

Field Sampling. A total of 64 sewage sludge samples from WWTPs in 36 cities across China (Figure S1) were collected from October 2010 to May 2011. Details about the secondary

treatment technologies, sewage sources, and treatment capacities for the WWTPs have been published previously⁴¹ and are listed in Table S2. Freshly digested sludge (0.5–1.0 kg of wet weight) from a secondary clarifier in each WWTP was collected after the dewatering process. Wet sludge samples were packed in aluminum foil, sealed in ziplock bags, and transported immediately to the laboratory, where they were freeze-dried, homogenized, sieved through 100 mesh sieve, and then stored at –20 °C before being extracted.

Sample Extraction and Cleanup. The sample extraction procedure for OP triesters was adopted from previous studies with moderate modifications.^{32,42} The procedure used to extract samples for OP diesters was different from that for triesters. Detailed sample extraction and cleanup procedures are presented in the Supporting Information.

Ultraperformance Liquid Chromatography–Tandem Mass Spectrometry (UPLC–MS/MS) Analysis. Analyte concentrations were measured using an ultraperformance liquid chromatograph (Nexera X2, Shimadzu) coupled to a tandem mass spectrometer (Triple Quad 5500 System, AB SCIEX) based on previously reported methods with some optimizations.^{43–46} Detailed information with regard to UPLC and MS/MS parameters (Table S3) is given in the Supporting Information.

Quality Assurance and Quality Control. Strict quality controls were implemented to ensure accurate quantification of these target compounds. All glassware was soaked in a phosphate-free cleaning agent (decon90) for 12 h and then rinsed thoroughly with deionized water. After being carefully dried, the glassware was rinsed with solvent and then heated at 450 °C overnight prior to use. For the evaluation of extraction efficiency, sludge samples were randomly chosen for the fourth extraction after the first three extraction cycles, and the target compounds were undetected in the fourth extraction, which indicated high efficiencies of the first three extractions for sludge samples. One procedure blank sample was included in every batch of 10 samples to monitor the contamination of the blank. Most analytes in the blanks were under the limit of detection except TIBP and TPHP (Table S4), and therefore, the two OP triester concentrations were corrected by the blank.

Randomly selected sludge samples (n = 6) were fortified with known concentrations of OPEs (5-25 ng) for determination of the matrix effect (for details, see the Supporting Information).¹² The matrix effect was within 91–107%, and thus, the potential overestimation or underestimation of concentrations could be excluded. The matrix spike recovery was examined in a matrix spike sample and a matrix spike duplicate according to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) method.⁴⁷ Average matrix spike recoveries (n = 6) of target analytes and internal standards (IS) were between 67 and 99% and between 74 and 104%, respectively. Accuracy and precision were evaluated by fortification of samples (n = 6) with native and internal standards, and analysis of those samples through the entire procedure.¹² For accuracy, the deviations of IS-corrected quantitative results from the known values were $\leq 15\%$. For precision, the relative standard deviations (RSDs) in duplicate sample tests were \leq 10%. Recoveries of TNBP- d_{27} , TDCIPP d_{15} , TPHP- d_{15} , and DPHP- d_{10} in all field samples (n = 64) were $73 \pm 6, 84 \pm 17, 101 \pm 10, and 78 \pm 13\%$, respectively. The method quantification limits (MQLs) of the analytes were calculated as a signal-to-noise ratio of 10, which were from 0.09 ng g^{-1} (DPHP) to 3.76 ng g^{-1} (TCIPP). Detailed data are listed in Table S4. Concentrations below MQLs were replaced

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics of Concentrat	ions (nanograms per gra	am of dry weight) of the	e Detected OP Trieste	ers and Diester
Degradation Products in Nationwide Munic	cipal Sludge from China	a		

OP triesters TPP 76.6 \land MQL-8.77 1.78 1.75 3.63 0.6 TNBP 100 2.97-430 24.7 14.5 45.6 8.2 TIBP 100 6.25-387 33.6 23.6 98.3 11.1 TBOEP 98.4 \land MQL-350 39.7 15.0 148 13.1 TEHP 81.2 \land MQL-272 21.3 11.9 54.4 7.0 TCEP 100 1.73-270 34.0 22.8 75.0 11.2 TCIPP 95.3 $<$ MQL-645 43.8 27.0 109 14.4 TDCIPP 90.6 $<$ MQL-85.9 10.4 7.38 28.0 3.4 TPHP 100 2.37-585 26.2 11.3 54.4 8.6 TMPP 100 3.77-62.4 39.4 21.0 92.6 13.0 EHDPP 93.8 $<$ MQL-180 28.5 21.1 68.9 9.4 $\sum_{11.0}$ O triester	analyte	DF^{a} (%)	range	mean	median	P95 ^b	AP ^c (%)					
TPP76.6 $<$ MQL-8.771.781.753.630.6TNBP1002.97-43024.714.545.68.2TIBP1006.25-38733.623.698.311.1TBOEP98.4 $<$ MQL-35039.715.014813.1TEHP81.2 $<$ MQL-27221.311.954.47.0TCEP1001.73-27034.022.875.011.2TCIP95.3 $<$ MQL-64543.827.010914.4TDCIPP90.6 $<$ MQL-85.910.47.3828.03.4TPHP1002.37-58526.211.354.48.6TMPP1003.77-62439.421.092.613.0EHDPP93.8 $<$ MQL-40630.112.694.224.5BBOEP1000.48-50.68.303.8633.26.8BCEP79.7 $<$ MQL-28729.118.468.123.7BCIPP95.3 $<$ MQL-17829.619.379.924.1BDCIPP32.8 $<$ MQL-95.86.20 $<$ MQL18.65.0DPHP1000.63-86119.54.2619.415.9 \sum_{k} OP diesters10017.0-1.30 \times 10 ³ 12.382.928.5100	OP triesters											
TNBP1002.97-43024.714.545.68.2TIBP100 $6.25-387$ 33.6 23.6 98.3 11.1TBOEP 98.4 $<$ MQL-350 39.7 15.0148 13.1 TEHP 81.2 $<$ MQL-272 21.3 11.9 54.4 7.0 TCEP100 $1.73-270$ 34.0 22.8 75.0 11.2 TCIPP 95.3 $<$ MQL-645 43.8 27.0 109 14.4 TDCIPP 90.6 $<$ MQL-85.9 10.4 7.38 28.0 3.4 TPHP100 $2.37-585$ 26.2 11.3 54.4 8.6 TMP100 $3.77-624$ 39.4 21.0 92.6 13.0 EHDPP 93.8 $<$ MQL-180 28.5 21.1 68.9 9.4 $\sum_{11}OP$ triester 100 $43.9-2.16 \times 10^3$ 303 229 648 100 OP diesters V V V V 2.5 3.6 3.2 6.8 BCEP 79.7 $<$ MQL-287 29.1 18.4 68.1 23.7 BCIPP 32.8 $<$ MQL-95.8 6.20 $<$ MQL 18.6 5.0 DPHP 100 $0.63-861$ 19.5 4.26 19.4 15.9 $\sum_{6}OP$ diester 100 $17.0-1.30 \times 10^3$ 123 82.9 28.5 100	TPP	76.6	<mql-8.77< td=""><td>1.78</td><td>1.75</td><td>3.63</td><td>0.6</td></mql-8.77<>	1.78	1.75	3.63	0.6					
TIBP100 $6.25-387$ 33.6 23.6 98.3 11.1 TBOEP 98.4 $39.715.014813.1TEHP81.221.311.954.47.0TCEP1001.73-27034.022.875.011.2TCIPP95.343.827.010914.4TDCIPP90.610.47.3828.03.4TPHP1002.37-58526.211.354.48.6TMP1003.77-62439.421.092.613.0EHDPP93.828.521.168.99.4\sum_{11}OP triester10043.9-2.16 \times 10^3303229648100OP diestersVVV8.531.168.99.4BBOEP1000.48-50.68.303.8633.26.8BCIP95.329.118.468.123.7BCIPP95.362.018.65.0DPHP1000.63-86119.54.2619.415.9\Delta_{C}OP diester1001.70-1.30 \times 10^312.382.928.5100$	TNBP	100	2.97-430	24.7	14.5	45.6	8.2					
TBOEP98.4 $39.715.014813.1TEHP81.221.311.954.47.0TCEP1001.73-27034.022.875.011.2TCIPP95.343.827.010914.4TDCIPP90.610.47.3828.03.4TPHP1002.37-58526.211.354.48.6TMPP1003.77-62439.421.092.613.0EHDPP93.828.521.168.99.4\sum_{11}OP trister10043.9-2.16 × 10^3303229648100OP diestersVVVVVVDNBP89.13.0.112.694.224.5BBOEP1000.48=50.68.303.8633.26.8BCEP79.729.118.468.123.7BDCIPP95.36.2018.65.0DPHP1000.63=86119.54.2619.415.9\sum_{0}^{A}OP diester1001.70-1.30 × 10^312.382.928.5100$	TIBP	100	6.25-387	33.6	23.6	98.3	11.1					
TEHP81.2 <mql-272< th="">21.311.954.47.0TCEP1001.73-27034.022.875.011.2TCIPP95.3<mql-645< td="">43.827.010914.4TDCIPP90.6<mql-85.9< td="">10.47.3828.03.4TPHP1002.37-58526.211.354.48.6TMPP1003.77-62439.421.092.613.0EHDPP93.8<mql-180< td="">28.521.168.99.4\sum_{i1}OP triester10043.9-2.16 × 10^3303229648100OP diesters30.112.694.224.5BBOEP1000.48-50.68.303.8633.26.8BCEP79.7<mql-287< td="">29.118.468.123.7BDCIPP32.8<mql-95.8< td="">6.20<mql< td="">18.65.0DPHP1000.63-86119.54.2619.415.9\sum_{o}OP diester10017.0-1.30 × 10^312382.928.5100</mql<></mql-95.8<></mql-287<></mql-180<></mql-85.9<></mql-645<></mql-272<>	TBOEP	98.4	<mql-350< td=""><td>39.7</td><td>15.0</td><td>148</td><td>13.1</td></mql-350<>	39.7	15.0	148	13.1					
TCEP100 $1.73-270$ 34.0 22.8 75.0 11.2 TCIPP 95.3 $43.827.010914.4TDCIPP90.610.47.3828.03.4TPHP1002.37-58526.211.354.48.6TMPP1003.77-62439.421.092.613.0EHDPP93.828.521.168.99.4\sum_{11}OP triester10043.9-2.16 \times 10^3303229648100OP diestersVVVV24.580CEP9.74MQL-40630.112.694.224.5BBOEP1000.48-50.68.303.8633.26.823.7BCIPP95.329.619.379.924.1BDCIPP32.86.2018.65.0DPHP1000.63-86119.54.2619.415.9\sum_{6}OP diester10017.0-1.30 \times 10^312382.9285100$	TEHP	81.2	<mql-272< td=""><td>21.3</td><td>11.9</td><td>54.4</td><td>7.0</td></mql-272<>	21.3	11.9	54.4	7.0					
TCIPP95.3 $43.827.010914.4TDCIPP90.610.47.3828.03.4TPHP1002.37-58526.211.354.48.6TMPP1003.77-62439.421.092.613.0EHDPP93.828.521.168.99.4\sum_{11} OP triester10043.9-2.16 \times 10^3303229648100OP diestersvvvvvvvBNBP89.130.112.694.224.5BBOEP1000.48-50.68.303.8633.26.8BCEP79.729.118.468.123.7BCIPP95.329.619.379.924.1BDCIPP32.86.2018.65.0DPHP1000.63-86119.54.2619.415.9\sum_6OP diester10017.0-1.30 \times 10^312382.9285100$	TCEP	100	1.73-270	34.0	22.8	75.0	11.2					
TDCIPP90.6 $10.47.3828.03.4TPHP1002.37-58526.211.354.48.6TMPP1003.77-62439.421.092.613.0EHDPP93.828.521.168.99.4\sum_{11} OP triester10043.9-2.16 \times 10^3303229648100OP diestersVVVVVVDNBP89.130.112.694.224.5BBOEP1000.48-50.68.303.8633.26.8BCEP79.729.118.468.123.7BCIPP95.329.619.379.924.1BDCIPP32.86.2018.65.0DPHP1000.63-86119.54.2619.415.9\sum_6OP diester10017.0-1.30 \times 10^312382.9285100$	TCIPP	95.3	<mql-645< td=""><td>43.8</td><td>27.0</td><td>109</td><td>14.4</td></mql-645<>	43.8	27.0	109	14.4					
TPHP100 $2.37-585$ 26.2 11.3 54.4 8.6 TMPP100 $3.77-624$ 39.4 21.0 92.6 13.0 EHDPP 93.8 $\langle MQL-180$ 28.5 21.1 68.9 9.4 \sum_{11} OP triester100 $43.9-2.16 \times 10^3$ 303 229 648 100 OP diesters V V V V V V DNBP 89.1 $\langle MQL-406$ 30.1 12.6 94.2 24.5 BBOEP100 $0.48-50.6$ 8.30 3.86 33.2 6.8 BCEP 79.7 $\langle MQL-287$ 29.1 18.4 68.1 23.7 BCIPP 95.3 $\langle MQL-178$ 29.6 19.3 79.9 24.1 BDCIPP 32.8 $\langle MQL-95.8$ 6.20 $\langle MQL$ 18.6 5.0 DPHP100 $0.63-861$ 19.5 4.26 19.4 15.9 \sum_6 OP diester100 $17.0-1.30 \times 10^3$ 123 82.9 285 100	TDCIPP	90.6	<mql-85.9< td=""><td>10.4</td><td>7.38</td><td>28.0</td><td>3.4</td></mql-85.9<>	10.4	7.38	28.0	3.4					
TMPP100 $3.77-624$ 39.4 21.0 92.6 13.0 EHDPP 93.8 $\langle MQL-180$ 28.5 21.1 68.9 9.4 \sum_{11} OP triester100 $43.9-2.16 \times 10^3$ 303 229 648 100 OP diesters V V V V V V DNBP 89.1 $\langle MQL-406$ 30.1 12.6 94.2 24.5 BBOEP100 $0.48-50.6$ 8.30 3.86 33.2 6.8 BCEP 79.7 $\langle MQL-287$ 29.1 18.4 68.1 23.7 BCIPP 95.3 $\langle MQL-178$ 29.6 19.3 79.9 24.1 BDCIPP 32.8 $\langle MQL-95.8$ 6.20 $\langle MQL$ 18.6 5.0 DPHP100 $0.63-861$ 19.5 4.26 19.4 15.9 \sum_6 OP diester100 $17.0-1.30 \times 10^3$ 123 82.9 285 100	TPHP	100	2.37-585	26.2	11.3	54.4	8.6					
EHDPP93.8 $28.521.168.99.4\sum_{11} OP triester10043.9-2.16 \times 10^3303229648100OP diestersMQL-40630.112.694.224.5BBOEP1000.48-50.68.303.8633.26.8BCEP79.729.118.468.123.7BCIPP95.329.619.379.924.1BDCIPP32.86.2018.65.0DPHP1000.63-86119.54.2619.415.9\sum_{6} OP diester10017.0-1.30 \times 10^312382.9285100$	TMPP	100	3.77-624	39.4	21.0	92.6	13.0					
$ \sum_{11} OP \text{ triester} & 100 & 43.9-2.16 \times 10^3 & 303 & 229 & 648 & 100 \\ OP \text{ diesters} & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & & &$	EHDPP	93.8	<mql-180< td=""><td>28.5</td><td>21.1</td><td>68.9</td><td>9.4</td></mql-180<>	28.5	21.1	68.9	9.4					
OP diesters DNBP $\$9.1$ $ 30.1 12.6 94.2 24.5 BBOEP 100 0.48-50.6 8.30 3.86 33.2 6.8 BCEP 79.7 29.1 18.4 68.1 23.7 BCIPP 95.3 29.6 19.3 79.9 24.1 BDCIPP 32.8 6.20 18.6 5.0 DPHP 100 0.63-861 19.5 4.26 19.4 15.9 \sum_{6}OP diester 100 17.0-1.30 \times 10^3 123 82.9 285 100$	\sum_{11} OP triester	100	$43.9 - 2.16 \times 10^3$	303	229	648	100					
DNBP89.1 <mql-406< th="">$30.1$$12.6$$94.2$$24.5$BBOEP100$0.48-50.6$$8.30$$3.86$$33.2$$6.8$BCEP79.7<mql-287< td="">$29.1$$18.4$$68.1$$23.7$BCIPP95.3<mql-178< td="">$29.6$$19.3$$79.9$$24.1$BDCIPP$32.8$<mql-95.8< td="">$6.20$<mql< td="">$18.6$$5.0$DPHP100$0.63-861$$19.5$$4.26$$19.4$$15.9$$\sum_{6}$ OP diester100$17.0-1.30 \times 10^3$$123$$82.9$$285$$100$</mql<></mql-95.8<></mql-178<></mql-287<></mql-406<>	OP diesters											
BBOEP100 $0.48-50.6$ 8.30 3.86 33.2 6.8 BCEP79.7 $29.118.468.123.7BCIPP95.329.619.379.924.1BDCIPP32.86.2018.65.0DPHP1000.63-86119.54.2619.415.9\sum_{6} OP diester10017.0-1.30 \times 10^312.382.9285100$	DNBP	89.1	<mql-406< td=""><td>30.1</td><td>12.6</td><td>94.2</td><td>24.5</td></mql-406<>	30.1	12.6	94.2	24.5					
BCEP 79.7 $<$ MQL-287 29.1 18.4 68.1 23.7 BCIPP 95.3 $<$ MQL-178 29.6 19.3 79.9 24.1 BDCIPP 32.8 $<$ MQL-95.8 6.20 $<$ MQL 18.6 5.0 DPHP 100 0.63-861 19.5 4.26 19.4 15.9 \sum_{6} OP diester 100 17.0-1.30 × 10 ³ 123 82.9 285 100	BBOEP	100	0.48-50.6	8.30	3.86	33.2	6.8					
BCIPP 95.3 $<$ MQL-178 29.6 19.3 79.9 24.1 BDCIPP 32.8 $<$ MQL-95.8 6.20 $<$ MQL 18.6 5.0 DPHP 100 0.63-861 19.5 4.26 19.4 15.9 \sum_{6} OP diester 100 17.0-1.30 × 10 ³ 12.3 82.9 285 100	BCEP	79.7	<mql-287< td=""><td>29.1</td><td>18.4</td><td>68.1</td><td>23.7</td></mql-287<>	29.1	18.4	68.1	23.7					
BDCIPP 32.8 <mql-95.8< th=""> 6.20 <mql< th=""> 18.6 5.0 DPHP 100 0.63-861 19.5 4.26 19.4 15.9 \sum_{6} OP diester 100 17.0-1.30 × 10³ 123 82.9 285 100 ^aDetection frequency. ^bThe 95th percentile. ^cAveraged proportion in each group.</mql<></mql-95.8<>	BCIPP	95.3	<mql-178< td=""><td>29.6</td><td>19.3</td><td>79.9</td><td>24.1</td></mql-178<>	29.6	19.3	79.9	24.1					
DPHP 100 0.63-861 19.5 4.26 19.4 15.9 \sum_6 OP diester 100 17.0-1.30 × 10 ³ 123 82.9 285 100 a'Detection frequency. ^b The 95th percentile. ^c Averaged proportion in each group.	BDCIPP	32.8	<mql-95.8< td=""><td>6.20</td><td><mql< td=""><td>18.6</td><td>5.0</td></mql<></td></mql-95.8<>	6.20	<mql< td=""><td>18.6</td><td>5.0</td></mql<>	18.6	5.0					
\sum_{6} OP diester 100 17.0-1.30 × 10 ³ 123 82.9 285 100 ^a Detection frequency. ^b The 95th percentile. ^c Averaged proportion in each group.	DPHP	100	0.63-861	19.5	4.26	19.4	15.9					
^a Detection frequency. ^b The 95th percentile. ^c Averaged proportion in each group.	\sum_{6} OP diester	100	$17.0 - 1.30 \times 10^3$	123	82.9	285	100					
	¹ Detection frequency. ^b The 95th percentile. ^c Averaged proportion in each group.											

by half of the MQLs, and all data were log-transformed for statistical analysis using IBM SPSS Statistics 20.0 (IBM Corp.). Eight-point calibration curves were established in the range of $0.50-500 \text{ ng mL}^{-1}$ with a regression coefficient (r^2) of >0.995.

Ecological Risk Assessment. The risk assessment in sludge-applied soils was performed using risk quotient (RQ) values, which are expressed as

$$RQ = \frac{PEC_{soil}}{PNEC_{soil}}$$

where PNEC_{soil} is defined as the predicted no effect concentration on organisms and often derived from the no observed effect concentration (NOEC) in the laboratory. PEC_{soil} was estimated as the concentration of a contaminant in agricultural soil from one year after sludge-dose application.⁴⁸ The maximum probable risk of ecological effects was evaluated on the basis of the commonly recommended criteria: high risk (RQ \geq 1.0), medium risk (0.1 \leq RQ < 1.0), and low risk (0.01 \leq RQ < 0.1).^{48,49}

In this study, the PNEC_{soil} values for TCEP, TCIPP, TDCIPP, TPHP, TMPP, and EHDPP refer to the data from the European Commission,⁶ while the PNEC_{soil} values for other OP triesters and diesters were estimated as a quotient of toxicologically relevant concentration LC_{50} and an assessment factor (*f*) using the formula PNEC_{soil} = LC_{50}/f , where *f* was 1000.^{50,51} For this purpose, the LC_{50} for earthworm associated with TPP, TNBP, TIBP, TBOEP, TEHP, DNBP, BBOEP, BCEP, and DPHP was used for PNEC_{soil} calculation.⁵²

The PEC_{soil} values in soils to which sludge had been applied were determined according to the European Commission Technical Guidance Document (TGD) on Risk Assessment: 48,50

$$PEC_{soil} = \frac{C_{sludge} \times APPL_{sludge}}{DEPTH_{soil} \times RHO_{soil}}$$

where C_{sludge} (grams per kilogram of dry weight) is the measured concentration of the target compound in sludge, APPL_{sludge} is the rate of application of dry sludge to agricultural soils (usually 0.50 kg m⁻² year⁻¹), DEPTH_{soil} is the mixing depth (usually 0.20 m for agricultural soils), and RHO_{soil} is the bulk density of wet soil (usually 1.5 × 10³ kg m⁻³ for agricultural soils).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Concentrations, Spatial Distribution, and Composition Profiles of OP Triesters in Nationwide Sludge. All the target OPEs (triesters) were detected in most sludge samples (Table 1). The total concentration of 11 OPEs (the sum of which is designated as \sum_{11} OPE hereafter) ranged from 43.9 to 2160 ng [g of dry weight (dw)]⁻¹ with an average value of 303 ng $(g \text{ of } dw)^{-1}$, indicating considerable variability among the WWTPs. The maximum and minimum concentrations of OPEs were found at WWTPs located in Zhejiang province of East China and Yunnan province of West China, respectively. The WWTPs under investigation mainly treat domestic wastewater or mixed domestic/industrial wastewater (Table S2). The highest \sum_{11} OPE concentration from East China may be attributed to the high industrial output in this region as corroborated by the large portion (70%) of industrial wastewater found in the WWTP influents. Sludge with predominant industrial inputs generally contained OPE levels higher than those of domestic sludge.³⁷ The national average concentration of sludge OP triesters in China was lower than that previously reported in two relatively more economically developed regions of China, i.e., 699 ng $(g \text{ of } dw)^{-1}$ with a range of 204–4010 ng (g of dw)⁻¹ in Beijing³³ and 420 ng (g of dw)⁻¹ with a range of 96.7–1313 ng (g of dw)⁻¹ in the Pearl River Delta (PRD),37 but higher than that found in Henan Province [mean of 170 ng (g of dw)⁻¹ and range of 38.6–508 ng (g of dw)⁻¹], which is a less developed region.³⁸

Table 2	2. Pear	son Corr	elation Ma	trix for	Concentrations	of OP	Triesters	in Sludge	Samples ^a
---------	---------	----------	------------	----------	----------------	-------	-----------	-----------	----------------------

	TPP	TNBP	TIBP	TBOEP	TEHP	TCEP	TCIPP	TDCIPP	TPHP	TMPP
TNBP	0.048									
TIBP	0.218	0.829 ^b								
TBOEP	-0.145	0.300 ^c	0.225							
TEHP	-0.183	0.169	0.131	0.344 ^b						
TCEP	-0.052	0.390 ^b	0.389 ^b	0.168	0.146					
TCIPP	-0.079	0.340 ^b	0.284 ^c	0.159	0.295 [°]	0.605 ^b				
TDCIPP	-0.118	0.229	0.125	0.487 ^b	0.679 ^b	0.284 ^c	0.481 ^b			
TPHP	-0.012	0.510 ^b	0.395 ^b	0.519 ^b	0.524 ^c	0.356 ^b	0.420 ^b	0.682 ^b		
TMPP	-0.150	0.425 ^b	0.322 ^b	0.280 ^c	0.439 ^b	0.311 ^c	0.220	0.474 ^b	0.555 ^b	
EHDPP	-0.340	0.186	0.215	0.291 ^c	0.265 ^c	0.409 ^b	0.196	0.193	0.169	0.265 ^c
^a Concentration	Concentration correlation analysis was performed among all identified OP triesters after log-transformation. ^b Significant correlation at the $p < 0.01$									

level. ^cSignificant correlation at the p < 0.05 level.

Sludge \sum_{11} OPE concentrations in China were at least 10 times lower than those in Sweden [mean of 4239 ng (g of dw)⁻¹ and range of 620–6900 ng (g of dw)⁻¹],³¹ Spain [mean of 4476 ng (g of dw)⁻¹ and range of 1185-13370 ng (g of dw)⁻¹],³² Germany [only TCIPP detected over a range of 1000–20000 ng (g of dw)⁻¹],³⁰ and the United States [mean of 11800 ng (g of dw)⁻¹ and range of 4110-20200 ng (g of dw)⁻¹].¹² The relatively low levels of \sum_{11} OPE in China were consistent with the relatively low levels of consumption of OPEs in China compared with the levels of these developed countries. According to a report,⁵³ the amount of OPEs consumed in China accounted for only 4% of the global production in 2008, while Europe and the United States used 40 and 35%, respectively. These results implied that elevated sludge levels of \sum_{11} OPE in developed countries were likely associated with more widespread industrial activity together with greater consumption of OPEs, but the population density of the location of the WWTP was another potential factor.⁵

Of all target OP triesters, TNBP, TIBP, TCEP, TPHP, and TMPP were detected in all sludge samples, whereas TBOEP (98.4%), TCIPP (95.3%), EHDPP (93.8%), TDCIPP (90.6%), TEHP (81.2%), and TPP (76.6%) were partially detectable. In Sweden, Germany, and Spain,³⁰⁻³² EHDPP, TCIPP, TBOEP, and TEHP were the abundant compounds in sludge. Some previous studies in China indicated that the predominant compounds of OP triesters were TEHP and TMPP in Beijing,³ TBOEP and TPHP in the Pearl River Delta,³⁷ and TCEP, TBOEP, and TCIPP in Henan Province.³⁸ In the study presented here, TIBP, TBOEP, TCEP, TCIPP, and TMPP made a comparable contribution (11.1-14.4%) (Table 1), which were identified as the dominant compounds. Generally, sludge samples from the same province or municipality were similar in their composition profiles of OPEs, but variations among different regions were observed (Figure S2). Differences in composition profiles of sludge OPEs between different regions in China and between China and other countries may be attributed to varying patterns of use.

There is still a lack of environmental data for OPEs in sludge among different countries worldwide. We compared individual OP triester concentrations with the reported data from Sweden, Spain, Canada, the United States, and Germany (Table S5). In the study presented here, TPP was detected in 49 of 64 samples at concentrations in the range of <MQL-8.77 ng (g of dw)⁻¹. The lowest concentration and percentage composition for TPP (Table 1) could be partly attributed to its low log K_{ow} and preferential partitioning in the aqueous phase, while the production volume, usage period, and stability were also related to the sludge TPP level. The concentrations of TNBP and TIBP in nationwide sludge samples from China were 2.97-430 and 6.25–387 ng (g of dw)⁻¹, respectively, comparable to those previously reported in Beijing and Henan Province^{33,38} but lower than those detected in sludge from Sweden,³¹ Spain,³² and the PRD of China.³⁷ Similarly, two other alkyl-OPEs, TBOEP [<MQL-350 ng (g of dw)⁻¹] and TEHP [<MQL-272 ng (g of dw)⁻¹], also showed levels much lower than those found in Sweden,³¹ Spain,³² Canada,⁵⁵ and the United States.¹² For three hydrophilic chlorinated alkyl-OPEs, TCEP, TCIPP, and TDCIPP, accumulation in sludge was not a major mechanism of removal in WWTPs,³² but their high detection frequencies (90.6-100%) in sludge were still observed in this study. The concentration of TCEP [1.73-270 ng (g of dw)⁻¹] was similar to those reported in Sweden³¹ and Germany,³⁰ whereas sludge levels of TCIPP [<MQL-645 ng (g of dw)⁻¹] and TDCIPP [<MQL-85.9 ng (g of dw)⁻¹] were still much lower than those detected in Sweden, Spain, the United States, and Germany.^{12,30-32} The aryl-OPEs, including TPHP, TMPP, and EHDPP, have relatively high log K_{ow} values (4.6-6.6) and can easily be adsorbed onto sludge. Concentrations of TPHP, TMPP, and EHDPP were in the ranges of 2.37-585, 3.77-624, and $(MQL-180 \text{ ng} (g \text{ of } dw)^{-1})$ respectively. Much lower levels of the three aryl-OPEs were also found upon comparison with those detected in Sweden, Spain, and the United States.^{12,31,32} The consumption amount and usage pattern of OP triesters might be the major factors contributing to the nation-specific difference in the distribution of OP triesters in sludge.^{12,}

Pearson correlation analysis was performed on the logtransformed concentrations of OP triesters. Significant correlations (r = 0.265 - 0.829; p < 0.05) were found among all OP triesters except for TPP (Table 2), which could suggest some common sources and/or similar environmental fates. The nationwide spatial distribution patterns of chlorinated alkyl-OPE, aryl-OPE, nonchlorinated alkyl-OPE, and \sum_{11} OPE concentrations are shown in Figure 1A, while the stacked columns of \sum_{11} OPE in each province or municipality are presented in Figure S2. Higher concentrations of OPEs in East China, particularly in coastal regions, than in Central and West China can be visualized. Eastern provinces (e.g., Zhejiang and Guangdong) showed average sludge concentrations of total OPEs higher than those of central provinces (e.g., Hubei and Hunan) and western provinces (e.g., Yunnan and Gansu). Similar region-specific spatial distributions for alternative halogenated flame retardants (BFRs),⁴¹ hydroxylated poly-brominated diphenyl ethers,⁵⁶ and quaternary ammonium



Figure 1. Spatial distribution patterns of (a) \sum_{3} chlorinated alkyl-OPE, \sum_{3} aryl-OPE, \sum_{5} nonchlorinated alkyl-OPE, and \sum_{11} OPE (triester) and (b) \sum_{6} OPE (TNBP, TBOEP, TCEP, TCIPP, TDCIPP, and TPHP) and their diester degradation products \sum_{6} OPE_{dp} (DNBP, BBOEP, BCEP, BCIPP, BDCIPP, and DPHP) in sludge samples in China (mean concentrations are shown in each province or municipality).

compounds $(QACs)^{57}$ in Chinese sludge were also reported. As is well known, East China is a more economically developed region with a degree of industrialization and urbanization that is higher than those of Central China and West China, which is the major manufacturing base for electronic products, textiles, and plastic products in China. The relationship between the \sum_{11} OPE concentration of sludge and gross domestic product (GDP) in each province and municipality was analyzed (Figure S3). A significant positive correlation between \sum_{11} OPE and GDP was found ($r^2 = 0.40$; p < 0.05). Regions with higher GDP, especially in the production and consumption of

products in the more economically developed regions, might increase the occurrence of these chemicals. The results indicate that variations in pollution levels of OPEs are closely associated with regional economic development levels. In a previous study, similar correlations were also reported between sludge concentrations of perfluoroalkyl substances (PFCs) and the GDP in selected Chinese cities.⁵⁴ In view of this, further monitoring and management efforts are desirable in developed regions to gauge potential risks from co-occurrence of multiple organic pollutants.

Concentrations, Spatial Distributions, and Composition Profiles of Diester Degradation Products in Nationwide Sludge. Organophosphate triesters can be rapidly metabolized in humans and animals in many studies.³ We suspect that OP triesters can also been metabolized and/or transformed by microorganisms during active sludge treatment in WWTPs. Though the OP diesters as biomarkers of OP triester exposure have been largely investigated and reported in human urine samples worldwide, few studies have reported their widespread occurrence in municipal sludge. In the study presented here, six potential OP diester degradation products (DNBP, BBOEP, BCEP, BCIPP, BDCIPP, and DPHP, the sum of which is designated as $\sum_{6} OPE_{dp}$) were all identified in the sludge samples (Table 1). The concentration of $\sum_{6} OPE_{dp}$ varied from 17.0 to 1300 ng (g of dw)⁻¹ with a mean of 123 ng (g of dw)⁻¹. The large variability in \sum_{6} OPE_{dp} in sludge of WWTPs nationwide indicated that multiple factors, including parent compound concentrations, degradation pathways, physicochemical properties, sewage sources, and biological treatment techniques, might affect the concentrations of OP diesters.

BBOEP and DPHP were detected in all sludge samples with mean concentrations of 8.3 ng (g of dw)⁻¹ [range of 0.48–50.6 ng (g of dw)⁻¹] and 19.5 ng (g of dw)⁻¹ [range of 0.63–861 ng (g of dw)⁻¹], respectively. DNBP was detected in 89.1% of the samples with a mean of 30.1 ng (g of dw)⁻¹ [range of <MQL-406 ng (g of dw)⁻¹]. Among three chlorinated OP diesters, BCEP and BCIPP were identified in sludge for the first time to the best of our knowledge. BCIPP, BCEP, and BDCIPP were detected in 95.3, 79.7, and 32.8% of the samples, respectively, and their mean concentrations were 29.6 ng (g of dw) $^{-1}$ [range of $\langle MQL-178 \text{ ng } (\text{g of } dw)^{-1}]$, 29.1 ng $(\text{g of } dw)^{-1}$ [range of $\langle MQL-287 \text{ ng } (\text{g of } dw)^{-1}]$, and 6.2 ng $(\text{g of } dw)^{-1}$ [range of $\langle MQL-95.8 \text{ ng } (\text{g of } dw)^{-1}]$, respectively. Of all identified OP diesters, DNBP, BCEP, and BCIPP contributed almost equally (23.7–24.5%) to $\sum_{6} \text{OPE}_{dp}$, which were found to be the predominant OP diesters in the current municipal sludge in China. For BCEP, BCIPP, and BBOEP, because of a lack of available data in the literature, there is no possibility for comparison of concentrations with those of other regions or countries at present. For DPHP, DNBP, and BDCIPP, the reported regional levels in Beijing from a previous study³³ were slightly lower than the national levels found in the study presented here.

Geographical distribution patterns of mean concentrations of six OP diester degradation products ($\sum_{6} OPE_{dp}$) and their parent compounds (TNBP, TBOEP, TCEP, TCIPP, TDCIPP, and TPHP, the sum of which is designated as \sum_{6} OPE) are shown in Figure 1B, while the stacked columns of six OP diesters and their distribution pattern in each province or municipality are listed in Figure S4. Similar to those of OP triesters, the mean concentrations of $\sum_6 OPE_{dp}$ also decreased from eastern China toward central and western China, with the highest and lowest values observed in Zhejiang Province [408 ng (g of dw)⁻¹] and Heilongjiang Province [35.7 ng (g of $(dw)^{-1}$], respectively. There was not much difference in $\sum_{6} OPE_{dp}$ concentrations between the central and western provinces of China. Similar composition profiles of OP diesters characterized by DNBP, BCEP, and BCIPP as the predominant compounds were observed among the provinces and municipalities (Figure S4). Pearson correlation analysis was performed on the log-transformed concentrations of OP diesters with a detection frequency of >50%. No significant correlations

between OP diester concentrations in sludge were found except for DPHP versus DNBP and DPHP versus BBOEP (Table 3), implying that OP diester degradation products may

Table 3. Pearson Correlation Matrix for Concentration	is of
Major OP Diester Degradation Products ^a	

	DNBP	BBOEP	BCEP	BCIPP
BBOEP	0.115			
BCEP	0.147	0.159		
BCIPP	0.085	0.225	0.019	
DPHP	0.469 ^b	0.422 ^b	0.081	-0.038

^{*a*}Concentration correlation analysis was performed among emerging OP diester degradation products with a detection frequency above 50% after log-transformation. ^{*b*}Significant correlation at the p < 0.01 level.

have different sources and/or transport pathways in WWTPs. However, considering OP triesters having different transformation and/or degradation pathways in biota and the environment,²⁰ the linear correlation analysis presented below between OP triesters and diesters may be indicative of some OP diester formation not being the most important degradation pathway in WWTPs.

Correlations between OP Triesters and Diester Degradation Products and Implication for Potential Sources. Because WWTPs receive influents from a variety of domestic and/or industrial wastewater input, OP diester degradation products in WWTPs may also come from excretion of human urine and feces and/or other pathways (e.g., photodegradation and hydrolysis) as well as biodegradation of their parent compounds during active sludge treatment.²⁷ To ascertain the potential sources of these OP diester degradation products, regression analyses between OP triesters and their diester degradation products were performed on the logtransformed concentrations both with a detection frequency of >50%. Strong linear correlations between the concentrations of TBOEP and BBOEP ($r^2 = 0.49$; p < 0.01) and TPHP and DPHP ($r^2 = 0.51$; p < 0.01) were observed in these sludge samples (Figure 2), but no significant correlations were found



Figure 2. Significant correlations between log-transformed concentrations of OP triesters and diester degradation products in Chinese sewage sludge: (a) TBOEP and BBOEP and (b) TPHP and DPHP.

between the concentrations of TNBP and DNBP (r = 0.15; p > 0.05), TCEP and BCEP (r = -0.18; p > 0.05), and TCIPP and BCIPP (r = -0.045; p > 0.05). Because of the low detection frequency (32.8%) for BDCIPP, correlation analysis of BDCIPP and its parent TDCIPP was not conducted. These results indicated that BBOEP and DPHP in sludge may be mainly derived from biodegradation of their parent compounds

in WWTPs, but this was not the case for DNBP, BCEP, BCIPP, and BDCIPP.

The results presented above were further combined with the prediction models to diagnose the potential sources of diester degradation products in sludge. The behavior and fate of OPEs in typical WWTPs could partially be illustrated and predicted by their physicochemical properties. The estimated ultimate degradation half-life (BIOWIN3) and elimination fate (STPWIN32) of OPEs in wastewater treatment by EPI Suite version 4.11 (U.S. EPA)⁵² are summarized in Table S6. Among the six OP diesters, only DNBP was documented to be industrially produced and used in plasticizers and metal extractants.⁵⁸ The mean concentration of DNBP in the study presented here was higher than that of TNBP. A recent mass balance analysis³⁶ also indicated that a high level of degradation during traditional sludge treatment can occur, coinciding with the predicted short degradation half-life of TNBP (Table S6). Hence, DNBP in sludge might have originated from both industrial sources and degradation of its parent compound (TNBP) in WWTPs, which also explains the lack of a significant correlation between DNBP and TNBP. TBOEP and TPHP also have short half-lives similar to that of TNBP (Table S6); thus, similar fast degradations are predicted. In fact, two previous studies^{32,36} suggested that TBOEP and TPHP could be easily degraded by activated sludge treatments. The mean concentrations of BBOEP and DPHP were 21 and 74% of those of their parent compounds (TBOEP and TPHP), respectively, which can be explained by more rapid chemical hydrolysis of TPHP under general-pH conditions (6-9) in WWTPs.²⁷ The positive significant correlations between the two diesters and their triester parent compounds as mentioned above suggested that BBOEP and DPHP in sludge could mainly be derived from degradation of TBOEP and TPHP in WWTPs.

The chlorinated OP triesters (TCEP, TCIPP, and TDCIPP) are currently of most concern among the OPEs because of their high toxicity and possible health effects. The predicted degradation half-lives of TCEP, TCIPP, and TDCIPP are much longer than those of TNBP, TBOEP, and TPHP (Table S6), and therefore, these compounds are difficult to degrade in WWTPs. Actually, as the most recalcitrant OP triesters, TCEP, TCIPP, and TDCIPP showed poor degradation during conventional activated sludge treatment.^{31,32} However, the widespread occurrences of BCEP and BCIPP as the predominant diester degradation products and the presence of BDCIPP in sludge indicate that the three chlorinated OP diesters could come from outside sources of WWTPs. Su et al.²⁷ recently reported that, in aqueous solutions, chlorinated OP triesters were stable at pH 7-11 but could be extensively degraded at pH 13 over a period of 35 days. Thus, some alkaline industrial wastewater could be a source of chlorinated diester degradation products to the WWTPs. The occurrence of chlorinated OP diesters has been widely reported in human urine, $^{3,10,17-19,21-23,26,44}$ which could be another source for the WWTPs. Consequently, some industrial wastewater and metabolic excretion of the exposed population around WWTPs could be the main sources of chlorinated OP diesters in sludge. The occurrence of BCEP, BCIPP, and BDCIPP was not mainly due to the degradation of parent compounds within WWTPs, which also can explain the lack of significant correlations between chlorinated diersters and their parent triesters in sludge. Further mass balance analysis is recommended to track and corroborate the sources by simultaneous

determination of OP triesters and diesters into and out of WWTPs.¹² The widespread occurrences of BCEP, BCIPP, and BDCIPP at a national geographical scale should be of environmental concern.

Estimated National Emissions of OP Triesters and Diesters via Land-Application Sludge and Ecological Risk Assessment in Sludge-Amended Soils. In this study, 11 OP triesters and six diesters were positively identified in 64 sludge samples collected from nationwide WWTPs in China, suggesting the prevalent usage of OPEs and widespread occurrence of these anthropogenic contaminants. Compared with developed countries. China is currently not a major consumer but is one of the largest producers and exporters of OPEs in the world. It was documented that the production volume for OPEs has been ~70 kt/year in recent years, with 15-20 kt/year consumed in the Chinese market.^{5,59} According to the announcement from the Ministry of Environmental Protection of China, there were 4436 municipal WWTPs treating 135000 tons of wastewater on a daily basis in 2014.⁶⁰ The amount of sewage sludge generated is approximately 34 million t (at a moisture content of 80%) on an annual basis from the nationwide WWTPs in China.⁶¹ On the basis of the latest statistical data,⁶² sludge disposal in China generally includes landfilling (50%), land application (16%), incineration (10%), and producing building materials (9%), whereas untreated sludge accounts for 15% at present.

To evaluate the impact of the disposal of sludge on the environment, the nationwide annual emission fluxes of OP triesters and diesters were estimated on the basis of total sludge production volume and mean accumulation concentrations in sludge. The estimated emission fluxes were 2060 kg/year for \sum_{11} OPE and 836 kg/year for \sum_{6} OPE_{dp}. Of the three major categories of OPEs, the nonchlorinated alkyl-OPEs, aryl-OPEs, and chlorinated alkyl-OPEs accounted for 40, 31, and 29% of total emissions, respectively. Via comparison of the total annual accumulation (sum of OP triesters and diesters) in sludge to annual consumption volume in China, the leakage fraction of OPEs from the technosphere via municipal wastewater into sludge is 0.02%, which is comparable to that of alternative brominated flame retardants (BFRs) reported in our previous study.⁴¹ This small fraction may be attributed to the relatively limited treatment rate of wastewater in China and large release with treated effluent.³⁶ Furthermore, the estimated environmental emission fluxes of 11 OP triesters and six diesters via land-application sludge in China are 330 and 134 kg/year, respectively. Until now, less has been known about the ultimate fate and environmental risks of these emerging chemicals in soils to which sludge had been applied.

The half-lives of OP triesters and diesters in soil, air, and water were estimated by EPI Suite version 4.11 (U.S. EPA),⁵² and their overall persistence and transport potential in a multimedia evaluative environment were also predicted by the OECD Pov-LRTP Screening Tool.⁶³ As shown in Table S6, the half-lives in soils of chlorinated TCEP, TCIPP, and TDCIPP are obviously higher than those of TPHP and TMPP and much higher than those of TPP, TNBP, TIBP, TBOEP, TEHP, and EHDPP. Diesters BCEP and DPHP also show long half-lives, which are equal to those of TPHP and TMPP. The estimated half-lives of OP diesters are generally shorter than those of their parent triesters except for DPHP, which is more toxic than TPHP but has a half-life equal to that of TPHP. Furthermore, the predicted overall persistence and transport potential for TCEP, TCIPP, TDCIPP, TPHP, and TMPP are greater than

Environmental Science & Technology

for other OP triesters except for TEHP, which exhibits a shorter overall persistence but the highest transport potential. It was notable that BCEP and DPHP also have long persistence values and high transport potentials compared with those of other OP diesters and most triesters. TCEP, TCIPP, and TMPP are some of the identified dominant OP triesters, and BCEP and DPHP are OP triesters that are both highly abundant in sludge; their long persistence values and high transport potentials should raise environmental concerns about their ecological risk in soils to which sludge has been applied.

The risk assessment of all OP triesters and four diesters (PNEC_{soil} values were unavailable for BCIPP and BDCIPP) in soils to which sludge had been applied was performed on the basis of risk quotient (RQ) values. The estimated risk quotients (RQs) of 11 OP triesters and four diesters are illustrated in Figure 3, and the PNEC_{soil} (micrograms per kilogram) and



Figure 3. Estimated risk quotients (RQs) of 11 OP triesters and four diester degradation products in soils to which sludge had been applied. Red and green lines represent values of 1 and 0.1, respectively.

 PEC_{soil} (micrograms per kilogram) values of these pollutants are summarized in Table S7. A high risk in soils to which sludge had been applied was found for only TMPP. A potential medium risk was indicated for TEHP, TPHP, and EHDPP, as some of their RQ values were in the range of 0.1–1. In addition, low RQ values (<0.1) were found for other OP triesters and diesters, suggesting currently low ecological risks in soils after sludge fertilization in China. However, considering the much higher residual levels of OPEs in sludge in developed countries that consume more OPEs, we should pay greater attention to potentially high ecological risks in soils to which sludge has been applied in the future.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.est.7b04106.

Additional information about sample extraction and cleanup, detailed information about target analytes and physicochemical parameters (Table S1), detailed information about WWTPs (Table S2), MS/MS parameters (Table S3), method validation (Table S4), comparison of concentrations between China and some developed countries (Table S5), predicted behavior and fate of OPEs in WWTPs and in the environment (Table

S6), PNEC_{soil} and PEC_{soil} values of analytes (Table S7), sampling map of WWTPs across China (Figure S1), average levels and composition profiles of OP triesters (Figure S2) and diesters (Figure S4) in each province or municipality, and correlation between sludge \sum_{11} OPE and GDP (Figure S3) (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*School of Environment, Jinan University, 601 W. Huangpu Ave., Tianhe District, Guangzhou 510632, China. E-mail: hzzeng@jnu.edu.cn.

ORCID [©]

Fei Wang: 0000-0003-1038-3730 James C. W. Lam: 0000-0002-5557-6213 Lixi Zeng: 0000-0002-4815-3431

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (21377001, 21577142, and 41522304), the Beijing Municipal Natural Science Foundation (8152029), Guangdong (China) Innovative and Enterpreneurial Research Team Program (2016ZT06N258), and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities.

REFERENCES

(1) van der Veen, I.; de Boer, J. Phosphorus flame retardants: Properties, production, environmental occurrence, toxicity and analysis. *Chemosphere* **2012**, *88* (10), 1119–1153.

(2) Regnery, J.; Puettmann, W. Occurrence and fate of organophosphorus flame retardants and plasticizers in urban and remote surface waters in Germany. *Water Res.* **2010**, *44* (14), 4097–4104.

(3) Hou, R.; Xu, Y.; Wang, Z. Review of OPFRs in animals and humans: Absorption, bioaccumulation, metabolism, and internal exposure research. *Chemosphere* **2016**, *153*, 78–90.

(4) Greaves, A. K.; Letcher, R. J. Comparative Body Compartment Composition and In Ovo Transfer of Organophosphate Flame Retardants in North American Great Lakes Herring Gulls. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (14), 7942–7950.

(5) Wei, G.-L.; Li, D.-Q.; Zhuo, M.-N.; Liao, Y.-S.; Xie, Z.-Y.; Guo, T.-L.; Li, J.-J.; Zhang, S.-Y.; Liang, Z.-Q. Organophosphorus flame retardants and plasticizers: Sources, occurrence, toxicity and human exposure. *Environ. Pollut.* **2015**, *196*, 29–46.

(6) European Commission. Identification and Evaluation of Data on Flame Retardants in Consumer Products. Contract 17.020200/09/ 549040; 2011.

(7) Cequier, E.; Ionas, A. C.; Covaci, A.; Marcé, R. M.; Becher, G.; Thomsen, C. Occurrence of a Broad Range of Legacy and Emerging Flame Retardants in Indoor Environments in Norway. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2014, 48 (12), 6827–6835.

(8) Kim, J.-W.; Isobe, T.; Chang, K.-H.; Amano, A.; Maneja, R. H.; Zamora, P. B.; Siringan, F. P.; Tanabe, S. Levels and distribution of organophosphorus flame retardants and plasticizers in fishes from Manila Bay, the Philippines. *Environ. Pollut.* **2011**, *159* (12), 3653–3659.

(9) van den Eede, N.; Dirtu, A. C.; Neels, H.; Covaci, A. Analytical developments and preliminary assessment of human exposure to organophosphate flame retardants from indoor dust. *Environ. Int.* **2011**, 37 (2), 454–461.

(10) Cequier, E.; Sakhi, A. K.; Marce, R. M.; Becher, G.; Thomsen, C. Human exposure pathways to organophosphate triesters - A biomonitoring study of mother-child pairs. *Environ. Int.* **2015**, *75*, 159–165.

Environmental Science & Technology

(11) Salamova, A.; Hermanson, M. H.; Hites, R. A. Organophosphate and Halogenated Flame Retardants in Atmospheric Particles from a European Arctic Site. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (11), 6133–6140.

(12) Kim, U.; Oh, J. K.; Kannan, K. Occurrence, Removal, and Environmental Emission of Organophosphate Flame Retardants/ Plasticizers in a Wastewater Treatment Plant in New York State. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2017**, *51* (14), 7872–7880.

(13) Wang, Q.; Lai, N. L.-S.; Wang, X.; Guo, Y.; Lam, P. K.-S.; Lam, J. C.-W.; Zhou, B. Bioconcentration and Transfer of the Organophorous Flame Retardant 1,3-Dichloro-2-propyl Phosphate Causes Thyroid Endocrine Disruption and Developmental Neurotoxicity in Zebrafish Larvae. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *49* (8), 5123–5132.

(14) Wang, Q.; Lam, J. C.-W.; Man, Y.-C.; Lai, N. L.-S.; Kwok, K. Y.; Guo, Y. Y.; Lam, P. K.-S.; Zhou, B. Bioconcentration, metabolism and neurotoxicity of the organophorous flame retardant 1,3-dichloro 2-propyl phosphate (TDCPP) to zebrafish. *Aquat. Toxicol.* **2015**, *158*, 108–115.

(15) OEHHA. Evidence on the Carcinogenicity of Tris(1,3-dichloro-2-propyl)phosphate. Technical Report; Reproductive and Cancer Hazard Assessment Branch Office of Environmental Health Hazard Assessment, California Environmental Protection Agency: Sacramento, CA, 2011.

(16) Greaves, A. K.; Su, G.; Letcher, R. J. Environmentally relevant organophosphate triesters in herring gulls: In vitro biotransformation and kinetics and diester metabolite formation using a hepatic microsomal assay. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **2016**, *308*, 59–65.

(17) Butt, C. M.; Congleton, J.; Hoffman, K.; Fang, M.; Stapleton, H. M. Metabolites of Organophosphate Flame Retardants and 2-Ethylhexyl Tetrabromobenzoate in Urine from Paired Mothers and Toddlers. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (17), 10432–10438.

(18) Butt, C. M.; Hoffman, K.; Chen, A.; Lorenzo, A.; Congleton, J.; Stapleton, H. M. Regional comparison of organophosphate flame retardant (PFR) urinary metabolites and tetrabromobenzoic acid (TBBA) in mother-toddler pairs from California and New Jersey. *Environ. Int.* **2016**, *94*, 627–634.

(19) Meeker, J. D.; Cooper, E. M.; Stapleton, H. M.; Hauser, R. Urinary Metabolites of Organophosphate Flame Retardants: Temporal Variability and Correlations with House Dust Concentrations. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2013**, *121* (5), 580–585.

(20) Su, G.; Crump, D.; Letcher, R. J.; Kennedy, S. W. Rapid in Vitro Metabolism of the Flame Retardant Triphenyl Phosphate and Effects on Cytotoxicity and mRNA Expression in Chicken Embryonic Hepatocytes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (22), 13511–13519.

(21) Hoffman, K.; Daniels, J. L.; Stapleton, H. M. Urinary metabolites of organophosphate flame retardants and their variability in pregnant women. *Environ. Int.* **2014**, *63*, 169–172.

(22) Hoffman, K.; Garantziotis, S.; Birnbaum, L. S.; Stapleton, H. M. Monitoring Indoor Exposure to Organophosphate Flame Retardants: Hand Wipes and House Dust. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2015**, *123* (2), 160–165.

(23) Dodson, R. E.; Van den Eede, N.; Covaci, A.; Perovich, L. J.; Brody, J. G.; Rudel, R. A. Urinary Biomonitoring of Phosphate Flame Retardants: Levels in California Adults and Recommendations for Future Studies. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (23), 13625–13633.

(24) Van den Eede, N.; Erratico, C.; Exarchou, V.; Maho, W.; Neels, H.; Covaci, A. In vitro biotransformation of tris(2-butoxyethyl) phosphate (TBOEP) in human liver and serum. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **2015**, 284 (2), 246–253.

(25) Van den Eede, N.; Maho, W.; Erratico, C.; Neels, H.; Covaci, A. First insights in the metabolism of phosphate flame retardants and plasticizers using human liver fractions. *Toxicol. Lett.* **2013**, 223 (1), 9–15.

(26) Van den Eede, N.; Heffernan, A. L.; Aylward, L. L.; Hobson, P.; Neels, H.; Mueller, J. F.; Covaci, A. Age as a determinant of phosphate flame retardant exposure of the Australian population and identification of novel urinary PFR metabolites. *Environ. Int.* **2015**, 74, 1–8.

(27) Su, G.; Letcher, R. J.; Yu, H. Organophosphate Flame Retardants and Plasticizers in Aqueous Solution: pH-Dependent Hydrolysis, Kinetics, and Pathways. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2016, 50 (15), 8103-8111.

(28) Ou, H. S.; Liu, J.; Ye, J. S.; Wang, L. L.; Gao, N. Y.; Ke, J. Degradation of tris(2-chloroethyl) phosphate by ultraviolet-persulfate: Kinetics, pathway and intermediate impact on proteome of Escherichia coli. *Chem. Eng. J.* **2017**, 308, 386–395.

(29) Meyer, J.; Bester, K. Organophosphate flame retardants and plasticisers in wastewater treatment plants. *J. Environ. Monit.* 2004, 6 (7), 599–605.

(30) Bester, K. Comparison of TCPP concentrations in sludge and wastewater in a typical German sewage treatment plant - comparison of sewage sludge from 20 plants. *J. Environ. Monit.* **2005**, *7* (5), 509–513.

(31) Marklund, A.; Andersson, B.; Haglund, P. Organophosphorus flame retardants and plasticizers in Swedish sewage treatment plants. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2005**, *39* (19), 7423–7429.

(32) Cristale, J.; Ramos, D. D.; Dantas, R. F.; Machulek Junior, A.; Lacorte, S.; Sans, C.; Esplugas, S. Can activated sludge treatments and advanced oxidation processes remove organophosphorus flame retardants? *Environ. Res.* **2016**, *144*, 11–18.

(33) Gao, L.; Shi, Y.; Li, W.; Liu, J.; Cai, Y. Occurrence and distribution of organophosphate triesters and diesters in sludge from sewage treatment plants of Beijing, China. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2016**, *544*, 143–149.

(34) Zeng, X.; Liu, Z.; He, L.; Cao, S.; Song, H.; Yu, Z.; Sheng, G.; Fu, J. The occurrence and removal of organophosphate ester flame retardants/plasticizers in a municipal wastewater treatment plant in the Pearl River Delta, China. J. Environ. Sci. Health, Part A: Toxic/Hazard. Subst. Environ. Eng. 2015, 50 (12), 1291–1297.

(35) Martinez-Carballo, E.; Gonzalez-Barreiro, C.; Sitka, A.; Scharf, S.; Gans, O. Determination of selected organophosphate esters in the aquatic environment of Austria. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2007**, *388* (1–3), 290–299.

(36) Liang, K.; Liu, J. Understanding the distribution, degradation and fate of organophosphate esters in an advanced municipal sewage treatment plant based on mass flow and mass balance analysis. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2016**, 544, 262–270.

(37) Zeng, X.; He, L.; Cao, S.; Ma, S.; Yu, Z.; Gui, H.; Sheng, G.; Fu, J. Occurrence and distribution of organophosphate flame retardants/ plasticizers in wastewater treatment plant sludges from the Pearl River Delta, China. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **2014**, 33 (8), 1720–1725.

(38) Pang, L.; Yuan, Y.; He, H.; Liang, K.; Zhang, H.; Zhao, J. Occurrence, distribution, and potential affecting factors of organophosphate flame retardants in sewage sludge of wastewater treatment plants in Henan Province, Central China. *Chemosphere* **2016**, *152*, 245–251.

(39) Chen, X.; Bester, K. Determination of organic micro-pollutants such as personal care products, plasticizers and flame retardants in sludge. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2009**, 395 (6), 1877–1884.

(40) Bergman, A.; Ryden, A.; Law, R. J.; de Boer, J.; Covaci, A.; Alaee, M.; Birnbaum, L.; Petreas, M.; Rose, M.; Sakai, S.; Van den Eede, N.; van der Veen, I. A novel abbreviation standard for organobromine, organochlorine and organophosphorus flame retardants and some characteristics of the chemicals. *Environ. Int.* 2012, 49, 57–82.

(41) Zeng, L. X.; Yang, R. Q.; Zhang, Q. H.; Zhang, H. D.; Xiao, K.; Zhang, H. Y.; Wang, Y. W.; Lam, P. K. S.; Jiang, G. B. Current Levels and Composition Profiles of Emerging Halogenated Flame Retardants and Dehalogenated Products in Sewage Sludge from Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants in China. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (21), 12586–12594.

(42) Cristale, J.; Lacorte, S. Development and validation of a multiresidue method for the analysis of polybrominated diphenyl ethers, new brominated and organophosphorus flame retardants in sediment, sludge and dust. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2013**, *1305*, 267–275.

(43) Wang, X. W.; Liu, J. F.; Yin, Y. G. Development of an ultra-highperformance liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry method for high throughput determination of organophosphorus

Environmental Science & Technology

(44) Su, G.; Letcher, R. J.; Yu, H. Determination of organophosphate diesters in urine samples by a high-sensitivity method based on ultra high pressure liquid chromatography-triple quadrupole-mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2015**, *1426*, 154–160.

(45) Petropoulou, S.-S. E.; Petreas, M.; Park, J.-S. Analytical methodology using ion-pair liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry for the determination of four di-ester metabolites of organophosphate flame retardants in California human urine. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2016**, *1434*, 70–80.

(46) Santin, G.; Eljarrat, E.; Barcelo, D. Simultaneous determination of 16 organophosphorus flame retardants and plasticizers in fish by liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *J. Chromatogr. A* **2016**, 1441, 34–43.

(47) Method 8000D: Determinative Chromatographic Separations. Technical Report; U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: Washington, DC, 2014 (https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2015-12/documents/8000d.pdf) (accessed September 25, 2017).

(48) Liu, N.; Shi, Y.; Li, W.; Xu, L.; Cai, Y. Concentrations and distribution of synthetic musks and siloxanes in sewage sludge of wastewater treatment plants in China. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2014**, 476–477, 65–72.

(49) Sanchez-Bayo, F.; Baskaran, S.; Kennedy, I. R. Ecological relative risk (EcoRR): another approach for risk assessment of pesticides in agriculture. *Agric., Ecosyst. Environ.* **2002**, *91* (1–3), 37–57.

(50) European Commission. Technical Guidance Document (TGD) on Risk Assessment of Chemical Substances. Technical Report EUR 20418 EN/2, 2nd ed.; Joint Research Centre, European Chemical Bureau: Helsinki, 2003.

(51) Cristale, J.; Katsoyiannis, A.; Sweetman, A. J.; Jones, K. C.; Lacorte, S. Occurrence and risk assessment of organophosphorus and brominated flame retardants in the River Aire (UK). *Environ. Pollut.* **2013**, *179*, 194–200.

(52) Exposure Assessment Tools and Models, Estimation Program Interface (EPI) Suite, version 4.1; Exposure Assessment Branch, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency: Washington, DC, 2011.

(53) Posner, S. Assessment of the Consumption of HBCDD in EPS and XPS in Conjunction with National Fire Requirements. Technical Report TA-2819; Environmental Directorate: Trondheim, Norway, 2011.

(54) Zhang, W.; Zhang, Y.; Taniyasu, S.; Yeung, L. W. Y.; Lam, P. K. S.; Wang, J.; Li, X.; Yamashita, N.; Dai, J. Distribution and fate of perfluoroalkyl substances in municipal wastewater treatment plants in economically developed areas of China. *Environ. Pollut.* **2013**, *176*, 10–17.

(55) Woudneh, M. B.; Benskin, J. P.; Wang, G.; Grace, R.; Hamilton, M. C.; Cosgrove, J. R. Quantitative determination of 13 organophosphorous flame retardants and plasticizers in a wastewater treatment system by high performance liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry. J. Chromatogr. A **2015**, 1400, 149–155.

(56) Sun, J. T.; Liu, J. Y.; Liu, Q.; Ruan, T.; Yu, M.; Wang, Y. W.; Wang, T.; Jiang, G. B. Hydroxylated polybrominated diphenyl ethers (OH-PBDEs) in biosolids from municipal wastewater treatment plants in China. *Chemosphere* **2013**, *90* (9), 2388–2395.

(57) Ruan, T.; Song, S. J.; Wang, T.; Liu, R. Z.; Lin, Y. F.; Jiang, G. B. Identification and Composition of Emerging Quaternary Ammonium Compounds in Municipal Sewage Sludge in China. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *48* (8), 4289–4297.

(58) Quintana, J. B.; Rodil, R.; Reemtsma, T. Determination of phosphoric acid mono- and diesters in municipal wastewater by solid-phase extraction and ion-pair liquid chromatography - Tandem mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chem.* **2006**, *78* (5), 1644–1650.

(59) Ou, Y. X.; Lang, L. C. Analysis and Prediction of Global Flame Retardants Market (in Chinese). *Plastics Additives* **2010**, *6*, 1–4.

(60) Ministry of Environmental Protection of the People's Republic of China. List of Nationwide Municipal Wastewater Treatment Plants Facilities in 2014. http://www.zhb.gov.cn/gkml/hbb/bgg/201506/ t20150609 303209.htm. (61) Report on Sludge Treatment and Disposal Market in China, 2013 (http://zt.h2o-china.com/report/2013/2013wncz_report/index. html).

(62) Zhang, Q. H.; Yang, W. N.; Ngo, H. H.; Guo, W. S.; Jin, P. K.; Dzakpasu, M.; Yang, S. J.; Wang, Q.; Wang, X. C.; Ao, D. Current status of urban wastewater treatment plants in China. *Environ. Int.* **2016**, 92–93, 11–22.

(63) OECD Pov and LRTP Screening Tool, version 2.2; Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development: Paris (http://www.oecd.org/LongAbstract/

0,3425,en_2649_34379_40718985_119669_1_1_1,00.html) (accessed July 26, 2011).